

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 5-8 remain pending in this application. Claims 1 and 5 are currently amended and claims 2-4 and 9-10 have been canceled.

Currently amended claim 1 incorporates the features from dependent claims 2-4 and currently amended claim 5 now depends from claim 1. No new matter has been added.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

At page 6, item 6, the Office Action indicates that claims 4 and 5 contain allowable subject matter. The amendment to claim 1 incorporates all of the features of claims 2-4. Thus, claim 1, along with claims 5-8 dependent thereon, should be allowable.

Applicants submit that this Amendment After Final Rejection only addresses formal objections raised in the previous Office Action. The amended claims incorporate the allowable subject matter. Applicants submit that this Amendment should only require a cursory review because the claim amendments do not add any new features to the claims. Consequently, the claim amendments do not require any further search by the Examiner. Accordingly, Applicant is entitled to entry of this Amendment as a matter of right under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 (b)(1).

CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 112

At page 2, item 2, the Official Action rejects claims 9 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

Claims 9 and 10 have been canceled thus rendering this rejection moot. Accordingly, Applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection.

CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 102

At page 2, item 3, the Official Action rejects claims 1 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by BARRERA et al. (WO 01/92381 A1). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

As indicated above, amended claim 1 incorporates the features of non-rejected claims 2-4, thus obviating this rejection. Claim 6 depends from claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection.

CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 103

At page 4, items 4 and 5, the Official Action rejects claims 2, 3, and 7-9 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over BARRERA, and rejects claim 8 as being unpatentable over BARRERA in view of SMALLEY (US 2003/0133865 A1) and KOCHANSKI et al. (US 5,838,118). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections.

As indicated above, amended claim 1 incorporates the features of claims 2-4. As acknowledged in the Office Action, BARRERA fails to teach or suggest an electron-emitting woven fabric comprising this combination of features recited in amended claim 1. For example, BARRERA fails to teach or suggest an electron-emitting woven fabric comprising first linear bodies having a conductive layer covered with an insulating layer wherein the conductive layer comprises a conductive polymer.

Claims 7 and 8 depend from claim 1, and claims 2, 3 and 9 have been cancelled. Accordingly, Applicants request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection.

CONCLUSION

Entry of the above amendments is earnestly solicited. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Should there be any matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future submissions, to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 25-0120 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

YOUNG & THOMPSON

/H. James Voeller/

H. James Voeller, Reg. No. 48,015
Customer No. 00466
209 Madison Street, Suite 500
Alexandria, VA 22314
Telephone (703) 521-2297
Telefax (703) 685-0573
(703) 979-4709

HJV/jad/dp